



Evaluation of both the Projects

W Builders Program - Project Evaluation Report

Comparative Judgment

Criteria (Weight)	W-Access	W-Ocean
Innovation & Creativity (25%)	5/10	3/10
	Smart contract wallets with social recovery & WNS - somewhat novel but exists elsewhere	Standard analytics dashboard - not innovative
Technical Implementation (30%)	4/10	2/10
	Has 4 smart contracts deployed, but has security flaws (incorrect address prediction, no reentrancy guard, custom ECDSA)	No smart contracts at all - purely frontend consuming APIs. Appears AI-generated via Lovable.dev, could use some custom dev effort
Utility & Impact (25%)	3/10	4/10
	No ecosystem engagement, no social promotion, self-contained project with no W-Chain specific value, a network effect project cannot do without a network	Sergio engaged on socials promoting W-Chain, provides some user value for data visualization, mentions of usage from other users
UX & Design (10%)	5/10	6/10
	Modern, functional UI with Tailwind/Radix components	Attractive ocean-themed design with good visualizations
Completeness & Presentation (10%)	8/10	8/10
	Complete submission with demo & deck	Complete submission with demo & deck

Final Weighted Scores

W-Access

Criteria	Weight	Score	Weighted
Innovation & Creativity	25%	5/10	1.25
Technical Implementation	30%	4/10	1.20
Utility & Impact	25%	3/10	0.75
UX & Design	10%	5/10	0.50
Completeness	10%	8/10	0.80
TOTAL			4.50/10

W-Ocean

Criteria	Weight	Score	Weighted
Innovation & Creativity	25%	3/10	0.75
Technical Implementation	30%	2/10	0.60
Utility & Impact	25%	4/10	1.00
UX & Design	10%	6/10	0.60
Completeness	10%	8/10	0.80
TOTAL			3.75/10

Score Summary

Project	Score	Key Differentiator
W-Access (Manav)	4.50/10	Has actual smart contracts deployed on W-Chain, despite flaws
W- Ocean (Sergio)	3.75/10	Better community engagement, but no on-chain work

Key Observations

Why W-Access Scores Higher (Despite Issues):

- **Actually deployed smart contracts** on W-Chain (Testnet & Mainnet)
- Has on-chain and infrastructure
- Creates something new on the blockchain

Why W-Ocean Falls Behind:

- **Zero smart contracts** - reads existing W-Chain data
- Could be turned off with no on-chain impact
- Appears to be heavily AI-generated via Lovable.dev
- But Sergio gets credit for social engagement

Disqualification Justification

Both projects fail to meet the quality threshold expected for the W Builders Program prize pool (\$5,000 / \$3,000 / \$2,000):

Issue	W-Access	W- Ocean
No long-term ecosystem value	✓	✓
Technical concerns	Smart contract security flaws	No blockchain development
Originality concerns	Generic wallet pattern	Good idea, but needs more custom development
Ecosystem growth contribution	Zero social engagement	Some social activity

Prize Approach: Appropriate given both projects show effort and complete submissions, but neither deserves the full tiered prize structure.